# School-developed Learning and Assessment Plan form

Stage 2 Material Products (Context: )

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School |  | | Teacher(s) |  |
| Other schools using this plan | |  | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SACE school code | | |  | Year |  | Enrolment code | | | | |  | Program variant code (A–W) |
| Stage | Subject code | | | No. of credits (10 or 20) |
|  |  |  |  | **2** | **M** | **M** | **A/B** | **10** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School use only   |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Approved |  | Not approved |  |  |      |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Signature of Principal/delegate |  | Date |  | |

Addendum

Please only use this section for any changes made after the learning and assessment plan has been approved.

Changes made to the learning and assessment plan

|  |
| --- |
| Describe any changes made to the pre-approved learning and assessment plan to support students to be successful in meeting the requirements of the subject. In your description, please explain:  what changes have been made to the plan  the rationale for making the changes  whether these changes have been made for all students, or for individuals within the student group. |

Endorsement of changes

The changes made to the learning and assessment plan support student achievement of the performance standards and retain alignment with the subject outline.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Signature of principal or delegate |  | Date |  |

# Assessment overview

Stage 2 Material Products – 10 credits

Complete the table below to show details of the planned tasks. Use numbers to show where students will have the opportunity to provide evidence for each of the specific features for all assessment design criteria.

Assessment Type 1: Skills and Applications Tasks – weighting 20%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Assessment details | Assessment design criteria | | | | Assessment conditions  (e.g. task type, word length, time allocated, supervision) |
| I | Pl | Pr | E |
| Specialised application skills |  |  |  |  |  |
| Materials application |  |  |  |  |  |

Assessment Type 2: Product – weighting 50%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Assessment details | Assessment design criteria | | | | Assessment conditions  (e.g. task type, word length, time allocated, supervision) |
| I | Pl | Pr | E |
| Product |  |  |  |  |  |

Assessment Type 3: Folio – weighting 30%

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Assessment details | Assessment design criteria | | | | Assessment conditions  (e.g. task type, word length, time allocated, supervision) | |
| I | Pl | Pr | E |
| External assessment ( two assessments for the Folio)  *Product design (documentation and analysis)*  *Students create a design brief and analyse their investigation and planning for their major product, based on the skills and activities outlined in the section ‘The Design Process’ section of the Learning Scope and Requirements .* The design brief should include a statement of intent, functional outcomes, aesthetic considerations, and constraints. It can be presented in dot point form.  The investigating part of the design process should include an investigation into the impact on individuals, society, and/or the environment of technological practices related to the type of product that the student is designing. The analysis involved in investigation can be included in the product design documentation or in the product evaluation.  *Product evaluation:*  *Students evaluate their producing skills, using evidence from the major product record in Assessment Type 2, and evaluate their realised major product.* The evaluation should include:   * a critical comparison of the realised product with the requirements of the design brief, and an explanation of and justification for any changes made * a review of criteria, standards, reliability, safety, quality, and cost-effectiveness * reflection on outcomes, with recommendations for possible improvement or redevelopment of designs or procedures * analysis of the impact of the product on individuals, society, and/or the environment (if not part of product design documentation) * evaluative observations about the student’s own skills development.   Evidence of development, with supporting written or oral summaries that explain, analyse, and evaluate the process and product, could take the form of:   * all or sections of the product record * photographic or electronic or digitally generated materials * audiovisual evidence * materials * products * models * sketches, diagrams, or annotations.   Oral summaries may emerge from teacher-led discussion questions*.* | 1,2,3,  4,5 | 1,2,3 |  | 1,2,3,4 | The combined evidence should be a maximum of 2000 words if written, or a maximum of 12 minutes recorded oral documentation, analysis, and evaluation, or the equivalent in multimodal form. |

*Five assessments.**Please refer to the Stage 2 Design and Technology subject outline.*