2020 Music Explorations Subject Assessment Advice

Overview

Subject assessment advice, based on the previous year’s assessment cycle, gives an overview of how students performed in their school and external assessments in relation to the learning requirements, assessment design criteria, and performance standards set out in the relevant subject outline. They provide information and advice regarding the assessment types, the application of the performance standards in school and external assessments, and the quality of student performance.

Teachers should refer to the subject outline for specifications on content and learning requirements, and to the subject operational information for operational matters and key dates.

School Assessment

Assessment Type 1: Musical Literacy (30%)

This assessment type provides the opportunity for students to demonstrate understanding of musical elements, apply musical literacy skills, analyse and discuss musical works, and develop their understanding of the relationship between musical notation and sound. Students complete three musical literacy tasks. At least one of the three tasks should be an original melody or song with lyrics and chords using standard music notation where students demonstrate practical application of musical literacy through the manipulation of musical elements. For the remaining two Music Literacy tasks, the majority of students presented works in the form of a reflection and critique of a live music performance and an analysis and discussion of one or more works focusing on style technique.

The more successful compositions and responses commonly:

* used standard notation and demonstrated correct groupings a range of melodic and rhythmic devices
* explored and developed aspects of standard harmonic progressions and contained chord spelling that demonstrated a range of harmonic variety and colour appropriate to their chosen style
* melody outlined chord extensions and supporting harmonic colour, a sense of form appropriate to the style
* included performance indicators, dynamic expressions, articulations, accurate text formatting following standard conventions (syllables, melismas, appropriate slurring)
* demonstrated an in-depth exploration and innovative experimentation with musical styles, influences
* demonstrated variation syncopation passing notes suspensions in a creative manner, as outlined in the subject supporting document
* used their composer’s statement to provide concise, insightful and accurate explanations of the skills and techniques they used in their composition
* demonstrated detail and depth of analysis and evaluation in analytical tasks and critiques.

The less successful compositions and responses commonly:

* provided only lead sheets or representations of an original song or melodic composition lacking in standard score conventions and performance indicators (see *more successful responses* above)
* chose to represent their melody *only* as a recording, with the form of notation being only text based lyrics and chords *without* at least a single stave melody line in standard clef notation
* used limited rhythmic and melodic range and extensive repetition of harmonic progressions
* only provided screenshots of music software arrangement windows and piano roll editor views without *any* detail using standard music notation
* submitted *only* a form of guitar TAB without *any* use of standard treble or bass clef notation
* presented composer’s statements with limited use of appropriate of technical and musical terminology or reference to the music element categories.

Assessment Type 2: Explorations (40%)

Through this assessment type students have opportunities to develop and extend their understanding of music by exploring how music is made, exploring musical styles, influences, and/or techniques, experimenting with styles and techniques and synthesising their findings in a presentation and commentary. Students demonstrate their learning by presenting a folio of their own creative works, which could include performances, compositions or arrangements.

The more successful folios commonly:

* demonstrated evidence of experimentation and choice within a focused and purposeful direction leading towards an extended application within AT3
* used their commentary to explain their learning focus using musical language and technical terminology within a multimodal presentation format including movie footage, score annotations, and evidence of draft or skill development
* presented a broader range of performance techniques and skills across a range of musical styles using shorter excerpt-style mini-performances
* demonstrated a detailed range of production, recording and song writing techniques within a folio of works created using music technology
* Demonstrated a range of compositional techniques and styles using conventional standard notation within a collection of effective and successful short compositions or arrangements for solo and small ensembles.

The less successful folios commonly:

* demonstrated limited personal experimentation and creative choice within a performance or creative work
* performed and presented works within a group ensemble that limited their opportunity to address the specific features of the assessment design criteria
* lacked a development focus and purpose to their learning
* discussed their works and learning using a limited range of musical and technical language
* used non-personalised images and presentation formatting not relevant to their discussion.

External Assessment

Assessment Type 3: Creative Connections (30%)

This assessment type allows students to synthesise their learning in this subject from their explorations, experimentation, and development of their musical literacy skills, to present a final creative work (performance, composition, or arrangement) and a discussion of that work.

Student creative works included: solo and small group performances, song writing and recording, EDM production recording, movie soundtrack compositions and notated compositions for instruments or vocal combinations.

The more successful creative works and discussions commonly:

* presented creative works that enabled them to demonstrate a sophisticated and well-developed range of musical skills, techniques and styles
* provided critique and analysis of their creative work, recognising influences while using accurate and detailed musical and technical terminology
* submitted a multimodal discussion of their learning using a range of personalised evidence (photos, movies, score annotations, voice recording explanations)
* discussed a clear link to the explorations undertaken in Assessment Type 2 and demonstrated a synthesis and summary of their learning.

The less successful creative works and discussions commonly:

* presented works that provided a limited range of musical skills and techniques and or limited their opportunity to demonstrate experimentation and choice
* performed and presented works within a group ensemble that limited their opportunity to address the specific features of the assessment design criteria
* discussed their works and learning using a limited range of musical and technical language
* used non-personalised images and presentation formatting not relevant to their discussion
* lacked a deep connection to their AT2 exploration folio experimentation and skills.