Research Project Clarifying Forum

****Research Outcomes — Clarifying****

**This is the clarification of the research outcome samples titled ‘What processes could be used to make a Queen Victoria sponge cake using traditional methods?’ and ‘How does the architecture of the Adelaide Remand Centre affect the behaviour of the prisoners?’ Please make yourself familiar with these documents before proceeding.**

**Al-righty! Assessment Type 2 – the student has done the question ‘What processes could be used to make a Queen Victoria sponge cake using traditional methods?’**

**This research outcome was judged at a C+.**

**In regards to the synthesis, it’s satisfactory to produce a resolution to the question, there is little to indicate in-depth synthesis or a considered analysis of the knowledge and skills needed to produce the sponge cake. So the synthesis S1 criteria would be judged at a C.**

**There was nothing really insightful about some of the key findings that perhaps have been expressed here.**

**In regards to the substantiation, the substantiation actually is quite good; the substantiation would be in B level. Now, lots and lots of claims that have been made, have been referenced, so the student has chosen to use footnoting to provide support for where they got their information from. However they’re from a limited number of sources the footnotes, so although there is, it’s mostly referenced, mostly substantiated it’s not insightful, or through, so although there is a lot of them they all come from one, two or three sources, so it’s at a B level.**

**In regards to S3, the student has expressed their ideas generally clearly perhaps it might have been better to have the method condensed to a recipe or a method statement rather than the reader perhaps needing to do this. This is an example of where they have actually made the cake and they perhaps could have shown their learning in another way, rather than a report, but we have what we have and it has been judged as a C+.**

**The second research outcome for your consideration is ‘How does the architecture of the Adelaide Remand Centre affect the behaviour of the prisoners?’ This piece of work has been judged at an A+ level.**

**In regards to the synthesis, S1 the student has a specific question that allowed them to produce an A+ level outcome. There is insightful analysis of the different findings, related to the different building elements that come together as the outcome.**

**In regards to the substantiation or S2 all key findings are substantiated well. There are different perspectives that have been bought together from different sources to support the key findings. The expression is outstanding for an example of sustained clear coherent expression of ideas.**

**This is an outstanding piece of work.**